UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,877	11/20/2003	Hee Kyung Ju	912-42	5636
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 01/15/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			HAIDER, SAIRA BANO	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/716,877	JU ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAIRA HAIDER	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>SAIRA HAIDER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Arthur Crawford</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>19</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Asgharian</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to claim 19 to clarify that in step one the enzyme is dispersed into only the polyol and not any other components. Applicant's representative argued that the claims do not recite the term comprising with respect to the ingredients utilized in the process and thus the claims are closed. The examiner agreed to consider all arguments and amendments presented.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Saira Haider/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1796				